## FISCAL POLICY COMMITTEE

**JANUARY 7,1986** 

MEMBERS:

BILL WESEMAN CHMN., FRED JOY, CHARLES HARTMAN, TOM WIER AND DON ROBISON

## DISCUSSIONS;

LAYING GROUND WORK FOR GUIDLINES & RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

- 1. SUCCESS WAS RECOGNIZED AS DEPENDENT UPON HAVING ALL MEMBERS (ESPECIALLY NEW ONES) INTERESTED AND ACTIVE IN ALL ASPECTS OF OUR CLUB GOALS. IN UNITY OF PURPOSE, AND WITH EXCELLENT SPIRIT.
- 2. IT IS NOT THE CHARGE OR INTENT OF THIS COMMITTEE TO DETERMINE THE AMOUNTS OF FUTURE FUNDS, OR HOW THEY ARE BUDGETED, BUT TO STUDY AND RECOMMEND, TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, A PLAN TO ESTABLISH SOME GUIDE LINES FOR APPLYING AND HANDLING FUNDS RAISED BY OUR CLUB THROUGH VARIOUS PROGRAMS.
- 3. ADDITIONAL FUNDS OF \$10 TO \$15 THOUSAND DOLLARS FER YEAR WILL BE NEEDED IF THE PRESENT PLANS FOR THE SENIOR CITIZENS-ROTARY CLUB BUILDING GO THROUGH; HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 2 YEARS BEFORE A PAYMENT COULD BECOME DUE.
- 4. PLANNED NEW PROJECTS, OR SAVING A PERCENTAGE OF FUNDS RAISED ON PRESENT PROJECTS EACH YEAR, COULD EVENTUALLY PROVIDE THE AMOUNTS NEEDED TO COVER THE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET REQUIREMENTS
- 5. THE PRESIDENT-ELECT AND HIS OFFICERS WOULD HAVE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION TO PREPLAN THE BUDGET FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR.
- 6. MONIES FOR GRANTS TO CHARITIES WOULD BE AVAILABLE WHEN THE PRESIDENT TAKES OFFICE EACH YEAR. THIS WOULD GIVE US THE ADVANTAGE OF GETTING OUR COMMITTIES OFF TO A GOOD START EARLY IN YEAR INSTEAD OF WAITING TO SEE IF MONIES WERE AVAILABLE FROM OUR (HOPEFULLY SUCCESSFUL) FUND RAISERS.
- 7. WE CONCURRED THAT IT WOULD NOT CAUSE A PROBLEM WITH THE IRS OVER OUR TAX EXEMPT STATUS IF WE DECIDED TO CARRY FUNDS OVER FOR ONE YEAR AND THAT THE MECHANICS FOR DOING THIS COULD BE WORKED OUT WITH THE HELP OF EXPERTS IN THAT FIELD.

## RECOMMENDATIONS;

- 1. ESTABLISH A SAVINGS OR INVESTMENT ACCOUNT. YES...4.... NO...o....
- 2. ALLOCATE PROFITS OF NEW PROJECTS; SPECIFICALLY "BULLETIN ADVERTISING INSERT", AND "REVERSE DRAW DINNER" FOR FUNDING ADVANCED BUDGET.
  YES...4.... NO...o....
- 3. ALLOCATE A FERCENTAGE OF THE PROFITS, IN ADDITION TO BUD-GETS OF EXISTING AND NEW PROJECTS, TO BE SAVED OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS UNTIL APPROXIMATELY 1 YEAR'S SERVICE BUDGET IS SAVED. YES...4.... NO...o....
- 4. THAT ALL FUND RAISING PROJECTS HAVE A SPECIFIC PURPOSE FOR THEIR GOAL.
  YES...4.... NO.....
- 5. PLANS FOR FUTURE FUND RAISING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD SHOULD INCLUDE PARTICULARS FOR MEETING THE BUDGET ON THE PROJECT.
  YES...4.... NO...o....
- 6. RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD THAT EACH YEAR THE BUDGET SHOULD CONTAIN A CONTINGENCY FUNDING AMOUNT TO COVER LATE DEVELOPING WORTHY NEEDS AS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD.
  YES...3.... NO...1....
  (NOTE): I FEEL THAT "WORTHY NEEDS" COULD DEVELOP AT ANY TIME IN THE YEAR, AND THAT IT'S IMPOSSIBLE TO PROVIDE HELP, "LARGE OR SMALL", WITHOUT A PROVISION FOR IT. PERHAPS CALLING IT AN EMERGENCY FUND AND QUALIFYING ITS LIMITATIONS INRE: AMOUNTS, ETC; SHOULD BE FURTHER DISCUSSED! I BELIEVE THE FEAR WAS OF ANY SURPLUS MONEY BECOMING A "SLUSH" FUND.

- 8. PROJECTED BUDGET AMOUNTS FOR THE UP COMING YEAR COULD BE BASED ON AN AVERAGE AMOUNT USED OVER THE PREVIOUS 5 YEARS YES........ NO......
- 9. SHOULD FUNDS IN EXCESS OF EXPECTED PROFITS ON PROJECTS IN ANY ONE YEAR BE; A. EXPENDED IN CURRENT YEAR?
  - YES..... NO....

  - C. APPLIED AS FUNDS IN SERVICE BUDGET FOR FOLLOWING YEARS?
    YES......
  - D.ACCUMULATED IN A TRUST FUND IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR LARGER FUTURE PROJECTS?

YES..... NO.....